UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,344	06/30/2003	William W. Macy JR.	42P15762	3746	
8791 7590 03/18/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER		
	AD PARKWAY CA 94085-4040	GEIB, BENJAMIN P			
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2181		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/611,344	MACY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BENJAMIN P. GEIB	2181		

	DENJAMIN F. GEID	2101	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	r).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	unit the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Objects of the claim (s)		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:			
	/Tonia L. M. Dollinger/ Primary Examiner		

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Claims 12, 21, 30, 39, 45, and 48 have been amended to indicate that the third portion of each control element is "reserved for another purpose". While this limitation was previously recited in claim 1, it has not been specifically considered in the context of the language of independent claimes 12, 21, 30, 39, 45, and 48. Therefore, further consideration is required.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant argues that "the instruction and control element claimed by the Applicants is simply not taught or suggested by Rice, because Rice devotes two fields of an operand to only two purposes". The examiner agrees that Rice has taught each 8 bit condition field (i.e. control element) is split into only two fields (i.e. result field select value and operation field). However, when the ability to perform operations other than clearing and no modification is removed, the operation field would be specified by a single bit. Since the condition field (i.e. control element) is 8 bits and only 4 bits are used (i.e. 3 bits for the result field select and 1 for the operation field), there are 4 bits that are still part of, and reserved for, the condition field (i.e. control element).

It appears to the Examiner that the Applicant is reading the limitation regarding a third portion reserved for another purpose too narrowly. The claim language does not specify a particular purpose for the thrid portion, but simply that there is another portion that is reserved. As described above and detailed in the final Office Action mailed 12272007. having a third portion that is reserved is obvious. It the applicant intends for the claimed third portion to be for a particular purpose, then the claims should be amended to indicate the particular purpose.